

**STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

**HELD AT 7.00 P.M. ON THURSDAY, 20 JANUARY 2011**

**DECISIONS ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

**1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

No apologies for absence were submitted.

**2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

<b>Councillor</b>	<b>Item(s)</b>	<b>Type of Interest</b>	<b>Reason</b>
Carli Harper-Penman	7.1	Personal  Personal	She was a member of Queen Mary University Alumni Association and was aware of comments made by QMU but this had no bearing on her consideration of the application. She had been contacted by Cllr Whitelock who had e-mailed her a copy of the representations as set out in the update report. However, she had not discussed the matter with Cllr Whitelock and had given no indication of any opinion.
Bill Turner	7.1	Personal	Had received email from Cllrs Whitelock and Francis and had received representations from others. He was a Ward

			Councillor for the application and had attended meetings of the Ocean Estate Tenants' and Leaseholders' Association in a general capacity.
Kabir Ahmed	7.1	Personal	Had received representations from Cllrs Whitelock and Francis.
David Edgar	7.1	Personal	Had received representations from interested parties but had not discussed the matter.
Stephanie Eaton	7.1	Personal	Had received representations from Councillors Whitelock and Francis and from other interested parties.
Shahed Ali	7.1	Personal	Had received correspondence from interested parties.
Dr Emma Jones	7.1	Personal	Had received correspondence from Councillors and residents.

### 3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

The Committee **RESOLVED**

That the unrestricted minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 9 December 2010 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

### 4. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee **RESOLVED** that:

- 1) In the event of changes being made to recommendations by the Committee, the task of formalising the wording of those changes is delegated to the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal along the broad lines indicated at the meeting; and
- 2) In the event of any changes being needed to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions/informatives/planning obligations or reasons for approval/refusal) prior to the decision being issued, the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal is delegated authority to do so, provided always that the Corporate Director does not exceed the substantive nature of the Committee's decision.

## **5. PROCEDURE FOR HEARING OBJECTIONS**

The Committee noted the procedure for hearing objections, together with details of persons who had registered to speak at the meeting.

## **6. DEFERRED ITEMS**

Nil items.

## **7. PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DECISION**

### **7.1 438-490 Mile End Road, London, E1**

On a vote of nil for and six against, with one abstention, the Committee **RESOLVED**

That the Officer recommendation to grant planning permission at 438-490 Mile End Road, London, E1, for the erection of a new building ranging from 3 to 10 storeys to provide a new education facility comprising teaching accommodation and associated facilities, student housing, cycle and car-parking, refuse and recycling facilities be NOT ACCEPTED.

The Committee indicated that they were minded to refuse the planning application because of concerns over:

- The increase in height, bulk and scale of the new application and the potential impact of the increased density on the local community.
- Inappropriate design of the application and overdevelopment.
- The impact of the new application on sections 4B.1, 4B.9 and 4B.10 of the London Plan 2008.

In accordance with Development Procedural Rules, the application was DEFERRED to enable Officers to prepare a supplementary report to a future meeting of the Committee setting out proposed detailed reasons for refusal and the implications of the decision.

**Kevan Collins**  
**CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

(Please note that the wording in this document may not reflect the final wording used in the minutes.)